CFR Budget Reduction Proposal (FY 2010)

B. BRUCE BARE, DEAN
COLLEGE OF FOREST RESOURCES
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

April 3, 2009

CFR Budget Reduction Principles

- Budget decisions are based on the College's strategic priorities that emanate from its mission, vision and goals.
- Permanent state budgets will be used to maintain the College's: 1) instructional, 2) research, and 3) service programs in that order.
- All permanent state budgets will bear some share of any budget reduction, recognizing the possibility of targeted reductions.
- With advice from faculty, staff and students, our budget reduction decisions will follow an open, fair, and objective process communicated to the College community in a timely manner.

Additional Considerations

- Think strategically, with a 5-10 year outlook and our College mission, vision and goals in mind.
- Maintain flexibility and take advantage of the least disruptive opportunities.
- Currently filled tenured and tenure-track faculty positions will not be subject to budget reductions.
- Ensure process transparency.
- Act with the expectation that there could be another equally large cut required in FY 2011 as the economic downturn may persist.
- Consider the advisability of eliminating functions now, given the dire outlook and need for substantial reductions.

Parameters for CFR Budget Reduction

- **50**% of the College's permanent state budget of \$6.389M is in filled tenured and tenure-track faculty positions which we will not reduce.
- Consequently, the magnitude of the reduction to state budgets which are not protected is on average double the nominal 12% reduction.
- A 12% College budget reduction results in a total cut of \$766,830 for FY 2010 and is effective July 1, 2009.

Committee Advice on Budget

- 5
- CFR Budget Analysis Committee
- Elected Faculty Council
- Both Committees provided advice to the dean on how CFR might best absorb budget reductions of 8, 10 or 12% for FY 2010. They also chose to explore a 25% budget reduction.
- The CFR BAC was composed of faculty, two staff members and a student. The EFC is composed of faculty.
- We relied on these recommendations in deriving our budget reduction proposal.

CFR State Permanent Budgets (12/31/08)

	7/
//	- 1
1/	
11	n
//	0
//	
//	_//
-	

	<u>Annual</u>		% CFR Annual
<u>Functional Item</u>	Budgeted Amount		<u>Budget</u>
Faculty (filled)	\$ 2,	928,392	46%
Dean (filled)	\$	278,256	4%
Faculty (unfilled)	\$	580,653	9 %
Auxiliary faculty	\$	103,657	2%
TA (Dean/Chair)	\$	96,960	2%
RA (centers)	\$	66,528	1%
Staff (centers)	\$ I,	114,558	I 7 %
Staff/hourly (Dean/Chair)	\$	968,777	15%
Operations (Dean/Chair)	\$	75,854	1%
Operations (centers)	\$	175,730	3%
Total CFR budget	\$ 6,	389,365	100%

CFR State Permanent Budgets

Functional Item	Bu	dgeted Amount	12% Reduction
Faculty (filled)	\$	2,928,392	\$ -
Dean (filled)	\$	278,256	\$ -
Faculty (unfilled)	\$	580,653	\$ 232,261 (40%)
Auxiliary faculty	\$	103,657	\$ 62,194 (60%)
TA (Dean/Chair)	\$	96,960	\$ 11,635 (12%)
RA (centers)	\$	66,528	\$ 7,983 (12%)
Staff (centers)	\$	1,114,558	\$ 200,620 (18%)
Staff/hourly (Dean/Chair)	\$	968,777	\$ 174,380 (18%)
Operations (Dean/Chair)	\$	75,854	\$ 23,666 (31%)
Operations (centers)	\$	175,730	\$ 54,090 (31%)
Total CFR budget	\$	6,389,365	\$ 766,830

CFR State Permanent Budgets

<u>Centers</u>	Current Annual		<u>\$</u>	
		<u>Budget</u>		Reduction
ONRC	\$	513,014	\$	86,636
UWBG	\$	588,440	\$	95,116
Water	\$	39,058	\$	39,058
Sustainable Forestry - PF	\$	47,466	\$	8,676
PFC	\$	140,810	\$	28,605
CINTRAFOR	_\$	28,028	_\$	4,602
Total Centers	\$	1,356,816	\$	262,693

CFR State Permanent Budgets

<u>Dean/Chair</u>	Current Annual		<u>\$</u>	
		<u>Budget</u>		Reduction
Staff/hourly	\$	968,777	\$	174,380
Operations	\$	75,854	\$	23,666
TAs	\$	96,960	\$	11,635
Aux faculty	\$	103,657	\$	62,194
Unfilled faculty	\$	580,653	\$	232,261
Total Dean/Chair	\$	1,825,901	\$	504,136

Impacts of 12% Budget Reduction



- <u>Estimated</u> staff, TA, RA and faculty FTE impacts:
 - o 2.5 FTE unfilled **faculty**
 - o o.6 FTE auxiliary **faculty**
 - 3.7 FTE center staff
 - o 3.4 FTE dean/chair **staff**
 - 1.0 FTE center **RA**
 - 3 + FTE dean/chair <u>TA</u>
- Reduce <u>operating</u> budgets in centers and dean/chair offices by 31%

Comparison of Reductions

<u>Centers</u>	CFR BAC	<u>EFC</u>	<u>Dean</u>
UWBG*	25%	15%	16%
CSF - PF*	5%	10%	18%
Water	100%	100%	100%
PFC	10%	10%	20%
ONRC	I 7 %	I 7 %	17%
CINTRAFOR			16%

Comparison of Reductions

<u>Chair</u>	<u>CFR BAC</u>	<u>EFC</u>	<u>Dean</u>
Administrative st	aff 15%	15%	18%
Operations	10%	10%	31%
TAs	0%	0%	12%
Auxiliary faculty	25%	25%	60%

Comparison of Reductions

<u>Dean</u>	CFR BAC	<u>EFC</u>	<u>Dean</u>
TA and operations *	30%	20%	30%
Faculty administration*	50%	60%	72%
NWEF*	10%	40%	30%
Finance staff	15%	15%	18%
Student activities	10%	10%	12%
Operations	10%	10%	31%
CFR IT equipment*	40%	35%	36%
Administrative staff	15%	15%	18%
Student Services staff	5%	5%	18%
CFR IT staff*	25%	35%	18%
WPPF support	0%	0%	12%
Unfilled faculty positions	50%	50%	40%